

Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Khalsa Educational Complex, Guru Gobind Singh Marg, Wadala-Pathardi Road, Nashik-422009.

Ph. 0253 - 2372766, 7768004581, 82 Fax: -0253 - 2372666

www.ggsf.edu.in • e-mail : gcoerc.nashik@ggsf.edu.in

Computer Engineering Department

Feedback Analysis Report A.Y. 2020-21(Sem-I)

Date: 8/12/2021

| Sr. No. | Name of Staff | Average Feedback |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| general control of the control of th | Mr. S.G. Shukla | 3.94 |
| 2 | Mrs. C.D. Patil | 3.53 |
| 3 | Mrs. P.K.Bacchav | 3.82 |
| 4 | Mr. M.A.Amritkar | 3.99 |

Mr. S. G. Shukla HOD

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik **Institute Feedback Report** Date: 3/12/20 Department:Computer Academic Year: 2020-21 (Sem-I) Programme: SE CO Sr.No. **Feedback Points** Average Laboratory Equipment and Training 1 4.07 2 Library 4.07 3 Play Ground & Sport Activity 3.68 4 Canteen 3.59 5 Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities 3.76 Motivational Activities 6 3.93 7 Assessment of Examinations 4.95 8 Internet Facility 4.05 9 Training and Placement Support 4.17 Handling of Grievances of the Students 10 4.05 Safety and Security of the Students 11 4.20 12 Gender Equality Promotions 4.02 13 Campus Environment and Cleanness 4.32 Teaching Quality 14 3.85 15 Public perception about the Institute 4.20 Overall Ranking of the Institute 4.12 16 17 At what level Digital India is Promoted 3.88 18 Suggestions if any

Mr. S.G. Shukla

Dr.S.D.Kalpande Academic Dean

Academic Year: 2020-21

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| S. N. Question Regularity in class conduction Delivery of course content Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts Interaction and motivation related to course Understanding of course objective Overall command on class and subject Aware about the course outline Raware about evaluation format Ocurse meets the expectation Avarage Rating(out of 5) Avarage Rating(out of 50) Suggestions for Improvement:* | r. Sandeen G. Shukla | Ratino | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------|--------|
| S. N. Question Regularity in class conduction Delivery of course content Teaching methodologies used for understar Interaction and motivation related to course Understanding of course objective Overall command on class and subject Aware about the course outline Aware about evaluation format Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | | 9 | | |
| 1 Regularity in class conduction 2 Delivery of course content 3 Teaching methodologies used for understan 4 Interaction and motivation related to course 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | | Sub:1 | AVI | Avrage |
| 1 Regularity in class conduction 2 Delivery of course content 3 Teaching methodologies used for understan 4 Interaction and motivation related to course 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | Name of Subject | DM | | |
| 1 Regularity in class conduction 2 Delivery of course content 3 Teaching methodologies used for understan 4 Interaction and motivation related to course 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement: * | Th/Pr | Th/Pr | Th | Pr |
| 1 Regularity in class conduction 2 Delivery of course content 3 Teaching methodologies used for understan 4 Interaction and motivation related to course 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | Rai | Rating (05) | | |
| 2 Delivery of course content 3 Teaching methodologies used for understan 4 Interaction and motivation related to course 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | nduction | 4.02 | | |
| 3 Teaching methodologies used for understar 4 Interaction and motivation related to course 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | ontent | 3.95 | | |
| 4 Interaction and motivation related to course 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | gies used for understanding concepts | 3.85 | | |
| 5 Understanding of course objective 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | ation related to course | 4.00 | | |
| 6 Overall command on class and subject 7 Aware about the course outline 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement: * | urse objective | 3.90 | | |
| Aware about the course outline Aware about evaluation format Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement:* | class and subject | 3.95 | | |
| 8 Aware about evaluation format 9 Course meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating(Suggestions for Improvement:* | rse outline | 3.95 | | |
| Ocurse meets the expectation Avarage Rating Avarage Rating Suggestions for Improvement: * | | 3.95 | | |
| Avarage Rating Avarage Rating(Suggestions for Improvement:* | pectation | 3.90 | | |
| Avarage Rating(Suggestions for Improvement: * | Avarage Rating(out of 5) | 3.94 | | |
| Suggestions for Improvement: * | Avarage Rating(out of 50) | 39. | 39.41 | |
| | nent:* | | | |

Dr. S.D.Kalpande Vice-Principal

Academic Year: 2020-21

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| Nan | Name of Teacher: Mrs. Charushila D.Patil | Rating | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|--------|-----|
| S | S. N. Question | Sub:1 | Sub:2 | Avrage | age |
| | Name of Subject | OOP | CGR | | |
| | Th/Pr | Th/Pr | Th/Pr | Th | Pr |
| | | Rating (05) | | | |
| _ | Regularity in class conduction | 3.63 | 3.56 | | |
| 7 | Delivery of course content | 3.56 | 3.41 | | |
| 3 | Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts | 3.34 | 3.44 | | |
| 4 | Interaction and motivation related to course | 4.00 | 4.00 | | |
| 5 | | 3.54 | 3.37 | | |
| 9 | Overall command on class and subject | 3.54 | 3.44 | | |
| 7 | Aware about the course outline | 3.51 | 3.27 | | |
| ∞ | Aware about evaluation format | 3.49 | 3.51 | | |
| 6 | Course meets the expectation | 3.56 | 3.44 | | |
| | Avarage Rating(out of 5) | 3.57 | 3.49 | | |
| | Avarage Rating(out of 50) | | 35.30 | | |
| Sug | Suggestions for Improvement: * | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Dr. S.D.Kalpande Vice-Principal

Academic Year: 2020-21

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| Nam | Name of Teacher: Mr.Mukesh Amritkar | Rating | | |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|--------|
| S. N. | S. N. Question | Sub:1 | Avı | Avrage |
| | Name of Subject | t DELD | | |
| | Th/Pr | Th/Pr | Th | Pr |
| | | Rating (05) | | |
| - | Regularity in class conduction | 3.98 | | |
| 7 | Delivery of course content | 3.98 | | |
| c | Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts | 3.98 | | |
| 4 | Interaction and motivation related to course | 4.00 | | |
| 5 | Understanding of course objective | 4.02 | | |
| 9 | Overall command on class and subject | 4.00 | | |
| 7 | Aware about the course outline | 3.9 | | |
| 8 | Aware about evaluation format | 4.00 | | |
| 6 | Course meets the expectation | 4.02 | | |
| | Avarage Rating(out of 5) | 3.99 | | |
| | Avarage Rating(out of 50) | 39 | 39.86 | |
| Sugg | Suggestions for Improvement: * | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Dr. S.D.Kalpande Vice-Principal

Dr.N.G.Nikam Principal

Mr.S. G.Shukla

Academic Year: 2020-21

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| Nam | Name of Teacher: Mrs. Pradhnya K. Bacchav | Rating | | |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|--------|
| S. S. | S. N. Question | Sub:1 | Avı | Avrage |
| | Name of Subject | FDS | | |
| | Th/Pr | Th/Pr | Th | Pr |
| | | Rating (05) | | |
| - | Regularity in class conduction | 3.90 | | |
| 2 | Delivery of course content | 3.71 | | |
| 3 | Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts | 3.71 | | |
| 4 | Interaction and motivation related to course | 4.00 | | |
| 2 | Understanding of course objective | 3.73 | | |
| 9 | Overall command on class and subject | 3.88 | | |
| 7 | Aware about the course outline | 3.76 | | |
| ∞ | Aware about evaluation format | 3.80 | | |
| 6 | Course meets the expectation | 3.90 | | |
| | Avarage Rating(out of 5) | 3.82 | | |
| | Avarage Rating(out of 50) | 38 | 38.21 | |
| Sugg | Suggestions for Improvement: * | | | |
| | | | | |

Dr. S.D.Kalpande Vice-Principal

Dr.N.G.Nikam Principal

Mr. S. C. Shukda H. O. D.



Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Khalsa Educational Complex, Guru Gobind Singh Marg, Wadala-Pathardi Road, Nashik-422009. Ph. 0253 - 2372786, 7768004581, 82 Fax : 0253 - 2372696 www.ggaf.edu.in • e-mail : gcoerc.nashik@ggsf.edu.in

Computer Engineering Department

Feedback Analysis Report A.Y. 2020-21(Sem-II)

Date:15/06/2021

| Sr. No. | Name of Staff | Average Feedback |
|---------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Mr. S.G. Shukla | 4.70 |
| 2 | Mr. V.M. Gaikwad | 4.47 |
| 3 | Mrs. C.D. Patil | 4.42 |
| 4 | Mr.G.L.Patil | 4.42 |
| 5 | Mr. P.C.Patil | 4.38 |

Mr. S. G. Shukla HOD, CO

Dr. S. D Kalpande Academic Dean

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

| | Institute Feedback Report | |
|--------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Department:Computer | Date: 12/6/2021 |
| | Academic Year: 2020-21 (Sem-II) Programme: | SE CO |
| Sr.No. | Feedback Points | Average |
| 1 | Laboratory Equipment and Training | 4.03 |
| 2 | Library | 4.00 |
| 3 | Play Ground & Sport Activity | 3.78 |
| 4 | Canteen | 3.59 |
| 5 | Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities | 3.78 |
| 6 | Motivational Activities | 3.84 |
| 7 | Assessment of Examinations | 4.06 |
| 8 | Internet Facility | 3.97 |
| 9 | Training and Placement Support | 4.16 |
| 10 | Handling of Grievances of the Students | 4.06 |
| 11 | Safety and Security of the Students | 4.22 |
| 12 | Gender Equality Promotions | 4.09 |
| 13 | Campus Environment and Cleanness | 4.31 |
| 14 | Teaching Quality | 3.88 |
| 15 | Public perception about the Institute | 4.19 |
| 16 | Overall Ranking of the Institute | 4.13 |
| 17 | At what level Digital India is Promoted | 3.07 |
| 18 | Suggestions if any | |

Dr.S.D.Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. S. G. Shukla Academic Year: 2020-21 Program: SE-CO

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| | Sugg | | | œ | 7 | 6 | Un | 4 | w | 2 | 1 | | | D. 14. | 2 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| | Suggestions for Improvement : * | Total Avg. | Average | At what level Course meets the expectation | Clarity given in evaluation format | Effectiveness in meeting the course outline | Overall command on class and subject | Understanding of course objective | Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts | Delivery of course content | Regularity in class conduction | | Th/Pr | Name of Subject | Suconon | Onestion |
| | | | 4.72 | 4.63 | 4.72 | 4.59 | 4.72 | 4.88 | 4.72 | 4.72 | 4.81 | | Th | DSA | Rating | • |
| | | 4.70 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Rating (05) | Pr | | | Online |
| | | | 4.72 | 4.63 | 4.72 | 4.59 | 4.72 | 4.88 | 4.72 | 4.72 | 4.81 | 05) | Th | AV | | e |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - 7 | | Pr | Average | 200 | |
| Overall Rating=4.70 | | 4.69 | Average | Willingness to offer help and advice to students. | Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other | Pace on which contents were covered | Motivation and inspiration for students to learn | Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus | Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? | Feedback provided on Students' progress | Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) | Clarity of expectations of students | | Parameter | | Online |
| | | | 4.69 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.72 | 4.66 | 4.63 | 4.56 | 4.69 | 4.75 | 4.72 | | Rating | | |

Mr. S. G. Sadklas HOD, CO

> Dr. S. B. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mrs. C.D.Patil Academic Year: 2020-21 Program: SE-CO

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| | Sugge | | | 00 | 7. | 6 | U | 4 | w | 2 | - | | | | 2 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | Suggestions for Improvement: * | Total Avg. | Average | At what level Course meets the expectation | Clarity given in evaluation format | Effectiveness in meeting the course outline | Overall command on class and subject | Understanding of course objective | Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts | Delivery of course content | Regularity in class conduction | | Th/Pr | Name of Subject | | Ouestion |
| 3 | | | 4.43 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.41 | 4.34 | 4.59 | | Th | Micro | Rating | |
| | | 4.43 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.30 | 4.28 | 4.42 | 4.45 | 4.59 | Rating (05) | Pr | | | Online |
| | | | 4.43 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.41 | 4.34 | 4.59 | 05) | Th | AVE | | e |
| | | | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.30 | 4.28 | 4.42 | 4.45 | 4.59 | | Pr | Average | | |
| Overall Rating=4.42 | | 4.40 | Average | Willingness to offer help and advice to students. | Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other | Pace on which contents were covered | Motivation and inspiration for students to learn | Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus | Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? | Feedback provided on Students' progress | Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) | Clarity of expectations of students | | Parameter | | Online |
| 1, | | | 4.40 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.47 | 4.41 | 4.31 | 4.44 | 4.31 | 4.44 | 4.34 | (| Rating | | |

Mr. S. G. Smukla

Dr. S. B. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr.V.M.Gaikwad Academic Year: 2020-21 Program: SE-CO

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| 0 | Suggestio | | | 8 At | 7 Cla | 6 Eff | 5 Ov | 4 Uno | 3 Tea | 2 Del | 1 Reg | | | | 2 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| | Suggestions for Improvement : * | Total Avg. | Average | At what level Course meets the expectation | Clarity given in evaluation format | Effectiveness in meeting the course outline | Overall command on class and subject | Understanding of course objective | Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts | Delivery of course content | Regularity in class conduction | | Th/Pr | Name of Subject | | Ouestion |
| | | | 4.43 | 4.53 | 4.50 | 4.47 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.56 | 4.63 | 4.66 | | Th | M-3 | Rating | |
| | | 4.43 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | | 1 | Rating (05) | Pr | | | Unline |
| হ | | | 4.43 | 4.53 | 4.50 | 4.47 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.56 | 4.63 | 4.66 |)5) | Th | Ave | | () |
| g g | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ! | 1 | 1 | 1 | | Pr | Average | | |
| Overall Rating=4.47 | | 4.51 | Average | Willingness to offer help and advice to students. | Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other | Pace on which contents were covered | Motivation and inspiration for students to learn | Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus | Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? | Feedback provided on Students' progress | Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) | Clarity of expectations of students | | Parameter | | Online |
| | | | 4.51 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.47 | 4.63 | 4.44 | 4.50 | | Rating | | |

Mr. S.G. Shukla

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. P.C.Patil Academic Year: 2020-21

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

Program: SE-CO

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| Average Parameter Path Pr Pr Pr Pr Pr Pr Pr P | | Suggest | | | 8 A | 7 C | 6 E | 5 0 | 4 U | 3 T | 2 D | 1 R | | | | 2 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------------|----------|
| Average PBL Average Parameter Pr Rating (05) Rating (05) 4.41 4.41 4.28 4.34 4.34 4.34 4.34 4.35 4.41 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.34 4.38 4.38 4.38 4.39 Average Parameter Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) (d) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) (e) Use of | | ions for Improvement : * | Total Avg. | Average | t what level Course meets the expectation | larity given in evaluation format | ffectiveness in meeting the course outline | verall command on class and subject | nderstanding of course objective | eaching methodologies used for understanding oncepts | elivery of course content | egularity in class conduction | | Th/Pr | Name of Subject | Z. Carolina | Onestion |
| PBL Average Pr Pr Th Pr Clarity of expectations of students Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: 4.41 4.59 4.41 (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication 4.28 4.34 4.28 Feedback provided on Students' progress 4.34 4.28 Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? 4.34 4.35 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus as prescribed by A.34 4.34 A.34 A.35 Support for the development of Students' skill (c) Case Study / Other 4.38 4.50 4.38 Willingness to offer help and advice to students. Overall Rating=4.38 Overall Rating=4.38 | | | | 4.43 | 4.22 | 4.31 | 4.41 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.34 | 4.50 | | Th | SE | Rating | |
| Th Pr Clarity of expectations of students Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: 4.59 4.41 (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) 4.34 4.28 Feedback provided on Students' progress 4.41 4.38 Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? 4.28 A.34 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus as prescribed by University? 4.38 A.41 Pace on which contents were covered Support for the development of Students' skill (c) Case Study / Other 4.50 A.38 Willingness to offer help and advice to students. 4.43 A.35 Overall Rating=4.38 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | | Rat | Pr | | | |
| Pr Clarity of expectations of students Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) 4.28 Feedback provided on Students' progress 4.38 Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed luniversity? 4.4.1 Pace on which contents were covered Sudents to learn 4.4.1 (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other 4.38 Willingness to offer help and advice to students. 4.39 Willingness to offer help and advice to students. | | | 4.39 | | 4.38 | 4.34 | 4.41 | 4.25 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.41 | ing (05) | Pr | PBL | | |
| Parameter Clarity of expectations of students Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) Reedback provided on Students' progress Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? Motivation and inspiration for students to learn Pace on which contents were covered Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other Willingness to offer help and advice to students. Overall Rating=4.38 | | | | 4.43 | 4.50 | .4.44 | 4.38 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.41 | 4.34 | 4.59 | | Th | 3 | Ave | |
| Parameter Clarity of expectations of students Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) Feedback provided on Students' progress Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus Motivation and inspiration for students to learn Pace on which contents were covered Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other Willingness to offer help and advice to students. Average 4.36 | | | | 4.35 | 4.38 | 4.34 | 4.41 | 4.25 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.41 | | Pr | Sage | rage | |
| | Overall Rating=4.38 | | 4.36 | Average | Willingness to offer help and advice to students. | Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other | Pace on which contents were covered | Motivation and inspiration for students to learn | Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus | Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? | Feedback provided on Students' progress | Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) | Clarity of expectations of students | | Parameter | | |
| | | | | 4.40 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.56 | 4.38 | 4.41 | 4.44 | 4.28 | 4.28 | | Rating | | |

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. G.L.Patil Academic Year: 2020-21 Program : SE-CO

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

| | D | | 0 | Online | | | Online | |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----|-------------|------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Question | Rating | | m | 1 | | | |
| S. IV. | Name of Subject | PPL | 3 | DSA | AV | Average | Parameter | |
| | Th/Pr | Th | Pr | Pr | Th | Pr | | |
| | | | Rat | Rating (05) | | | Clarity of expectations of students | |
| - | Regularity in class conduction | 4.50 | 1 | 4.53 | 4.59 | 4.53 | Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills | |
| | | | 100 | | | | (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT) | |
| 2 | Delivery of course content | 4.47 | 1 | 4.44 | 4.34 | 4.44 | Feedback provided on Students' progress | |
| 3 | Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts | 4.38 | 1 | 4.34 | 4.41 | 4.34 | Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University? | |
| 4 | Understanding of course objective | 4.44 | 1 | 4.44 | 4.28 | 4.44 | Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus | |
| on | Overall command on class and subject | 4.53 | 1 | 4.44 | 4.47 | 4.44 | Motivation and inspiration for students to learn | |
| 6 | Effectiveness in meeting the course outline | 4.47 | 1 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.50 | Pace on which contents were covered | |
| 7 | Clarity given in evaluation format | 4.38 | - 1 | 4.41 | 4.44 | 4.41 | Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other | |
| 00 | At what level Course meets the expectation | 4.38 | ı | 4.47 | 4.50 | 4.47 | Willingness to offer help and advice to students. | |
| | Average | 4.43 | 1 | | 4.43 | 4.42 | Average | |
| | Total Avg. | | | 4.43 | | | 4.40 | |
| Sugg | Suggestions for Improvement : * | | | | | | | |
| | | | | W. | | | Overall Rating=4.42 | |

Mr. S.O. Shakila

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Department: Computer

Feedback analysis Corrective measures taken For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback -- 04/12/2020 and 13/06/2021

Feedback on - Academic

Total no of responses recorded - 40 +

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback

| Sr. No | Major Observations | Corrective Measures | Responsibility |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 01 | Students requested for mcq practice. | Faculties were informed to discuss mcqs while taking online lectures. | All faculties |
| 02 | Students requested for Weekly Test for every subject. | Faculties were informed to conduct test on every Week End. | All faculties |

| aports | Party |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Feedback Coordinator | Department of Computer Engineering |
| | |

Academic Dean Principal

Action Taken Report

(To be filled by Head of Department)

| Sr. No. | Action Taken | Status of implementation |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 01 | Faculties started discussing mcqs while taking online lectures. | Implemented |
| 02 | Faculties conducted Weekly Test on the syllabus covered in the Week. | Implemented |

Department of Computer Engineering

Department: Computer

Feedback Analysis

Corrective Measures Taken

For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback - 03/12/2020 and 12/06/2021

Feedback on - Institute

Total no of responses recorded - 40+

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback

| Sr. No | Observations | Corrective Measures | Responsibility |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 01 | Observations Students requested for training sessions on Non-technical topics like Resume Building, Interview Skills etc. | Training Cell is formed for conducting required training sessions. | Institute Training Coordinator |

Feedback Coordinator

Department of Computer Engineering

Academic Dean Principal

Action Taken Report

(To be filled by Head of Department)

| Sr. No. | Action Taken | Status of implementation |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. | Training cell is formed for conducting training sessions. | Implemented |

Head

Department of Computer Engineering